

Faculty of Computer Science Institute for Theoretical Computer Science, Chair for Automata Theory

## **Formal Concept Analysis and Logic**

## **Exercise Sheet 2**

Dr. Felix Distel Summer Semester 2012

## Exercise 7

It remains to prove the "only if"-direction. Let  $A \rightarrow B$  follow from  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We assume that there is a context (G, M, I) in which all implications from  $\mathcal{L}$  hold but  $A \to B$  does not. Since  $A \to B$  does not hold it follows that  $A' \not\subseteq B'$ , or equivalently according to the properties of Galois connections  $B \not\subseteq A''$ . Since  $A \subseteq A''$ , this means that A'' does not respect  $A \to B$ .

We prove that A'' respects all implications from  $\mathcal{L}$ : Let  $C \to D$  be an implication from  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $C \subseteq A''$ . From extensivity and idempotency of  $\cdot''$  we get

$$C'' \subseteq A'''' = A''.$$

 $C \rightarrow D$  holds in (*G*, *M*, *I*), which yields  $C' \subseteq D'$  or equivalently

 $D \subseteq C''$ .

We have thus shown that  $D \subseteq A''$  and consequently A'' respects  $C \to D$ . Therefore A'' must respect all implications from  $\mathcal{L}$  which contradicts the assumption that  $A \to B$  follows from  $\mathcal{L}$ .