

## *Towards Assertion-based Debugging of Higher-Order (C)LP Programs \**

NATALIIA STULOVA<sup>1</sup>   JOSÉ F. MORALES<sup>1</sup>   MANUEL V. HERMENEGILDO<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*IMDEA Software Institute*

(e-mail: {nataliia.stulova, josef.morales, manuel.hermenegildo}@imdea.org)

<sup>2</sup>*School of Computer Science, Technical University of Madrid (UPM)*

(e-mail: manuel.hermenegildo@upm.es)

*submitted February 4, 2014; revised March 18, 2014; accepted March 18, 2014*

### **Extended Abstract**

Higher-order programming adds flexibility to the software development process. Within the (Constraint) Logic Programming ((C)LP) paradigm, Prolog has included higher-order constructs since the early days, and there have been many other proposals for combining the first-order kernel of (C)LP with different higher-order constructs. Many of these proposals are currently in use in different (C)LP systems and have been found very useful in programming practice, inheriting the well-known benefits of code reuse (templates), elegance, clarity, and modularization.

A number of extensions have also been proposed for (C)LP in order to enhance the process of error detection and program validation. In addition to the use of classical strong typing, a number of other approaches have been proposed which are based on the dynamic and/or static checking of user-provided, optional *assertions*. Of these, the model of (Hermenegildo et al. 2005) has perhaps had the most impact in practice and different aspects of this model have been incorporated in a number of widely-used (C)LP systems, such as Ciao, SWI, and XSB. A similar evolution is represented by the soft/gradual typing-based approaches in functional programming and the contracts-based extensions in object-oriented programming.

These two aspects, assertions and higher-order, are not independent. When higher-order constructs are introduced in the language it becomes necessary to describe properties of arguments of predicates/procedures that are themselves also predicates/procedures. While the combination of contracts and higher-order has received some attention in functional programming, within (C)LP the combination of higher-order with the previously mentioned assertion-based approaches has received comparatively little attention to date. Current Prolog systems simply use basic atomic types (i.e., stating simply that the argument is a `pred`, `callable`, etc.) to describe predicate-bearing variables. Other approaches are oriented instead to meta programming, describing meta-types but there is no notion of directionality (modes), and only a single pattern is allowed per predicate.

\* Research supported in part by projects EU FP7 318337 *ENTRA*, Spanish MINECO TIN2012-39391 *StrongSoft* and TIN2008-05624 *DOVES*, and Comunidad de Madrid TIC/1465 *PROMETIDOS-CM*.

Our work (Stulova et al. 2014) contributes towards filling this gap between higher-order (C)LP programs and assertion-based extensions for error detection and program validation. Our starting point is the Ciao assertion model, since, as mentioned before, it has been adopted at least in part in a number of the most popular (C)LP systems.

We have proposed an extension of the traditional notion of programs and derivations in order to deal with higher-order calls and we have adapted the notions of first-order conditional literals, assertions, program correctness, and run-time checking to this type of derivations. This has allowed us to revisit the traditional model in this new, higher-order context, while introducing a different formalization than the original one, which is more compact and gathers all assertion violations as opposed to just the first one, among other differences. We have defined an extension of the properties used in assertions and of the assertions themselves to higher-order, and provided corresponding semantics and results.

We have defined a new class of properties, “predicate properties” (*predprops* in short), and proposed a syntax and semantics for them. These new properties can be used in assertions for higher-order predicates to describe the properties of the higher-order arguments. We have also proposed several operational semantics for performing run-time checking of programs including predprops and provided correctness results for them.

Our predprop properties specify conditions for predicates that are independent of the usage context. This corresponds in functional programming to the notion of *tight* contract satisfaction, and it contrasts with alternative approaches such as *loose* contract satisfaction. In the latter, contracts are attached to higher-order arguments by implicit function wrappers. The scope of checking is local to the function evaluation. Although this is a reasonable and pragmatic solution, we believe that our approach is more general and more amenable to combination with static verification techniques. For example, avoiding wrappers allows us to remove checks (e.g., by static analysis) without altering the program semantics. Moreover, our approach can easily support *loose* contract satisfaction, since it is straightforward in our framework to optionally include wrappers as special predprops.

We have included the proposed predprop extensions in an experimental branch of the Ciao assertion language implementation. This has the immediate advantage, in addition to the enhanced checking, that it allows us to document higher-order programs in a much more accurate way. We have also implemented several prototypes for operational semantics with dynamic predprop checking, which are being integrated into the already existing assertion checking mechanisms for first-order assertions. Finally, we are developing analyses for static verification of assertions containing predprops.

## References

- HERMENEGILDO, M., PUEBLA, G., BUENO, F., AND LÓPEZ-GARCÍA, P. 2005. Integrated Program Debugging, Verification, and Optimization Using Abstract Interpretation (and The Ciao System Preprocessor). *Science of Computer Programming* 58, 1–2, 115–140.
- STULOVA, N., MORALES, J. F., AND HERMENEGILDO, M. V. 2014. An Approach to Assertion-based Debugging of Higher-Order (C)LP Programs. Tech. Rep. CLIP-1/2014.0, The CLIP Lab. January. CoRR abs/1404.4246 [cs.PL].