Lehrstuhl für Automatentheorie

Institut für Theoretische Informatik, TU Dresden Prof. Dr. F. Baader Nöthnitzer Str. 46 01187 Dresden Tel.: 0351/463–38237 Tel.: 0351/463–39171

# 5. Exercises for the Course "Complexity and Logic"

### Exercise 19:

Prove that the satisfiability of formulas of first-order predicate logic (with an equality predicate) is undecidable. To do this, use a reduction of the domino problem. How can you do it without equality?

### Exercise 20:

Let L be a language. Then the closure under Kleene Star of L is defined as

$$L^* := \{ w_1 \cdots w_k \mid k \ge 0 \land w_1, \dots, w_k \in L \}.$$

Prove the following:

(a) If L, L' are in P, then  $L \cup L'$  is in P.

(b) If L is in P, then  $L^*$  is in P.

Hint: For (b), it is convenient to use dynamic programming techniques.

### Exercise 21:

For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , define the languages  $U(A) = \{1^n \mid n \in A\}$  and  $B(A) = \{\hat{n} \mid n \in A\}$ , where  $\hat{n}$  denotes the binary encoding of n as in the lecture. Prove that  $U(A) \in \mathsf{P}$  iff  $B(A) \in \mathsf{DTime}(2^{O(n)})$ .

### Exercise 22:

A language L is in LOGSPACE if it is decided by a 2-tape Turing machine such that

- the first tape is read-only and contains the input and
- only  $d \cdot \log(n)$  cells are used on the second tape on inputs of length n, for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Prove that the following languages are in LOGSPACE:

(a)  $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\};$ 

(b)  $L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w \text{ is a palindrome, i.e., } w \text{ read backwards is } w\}.$ 

## Exercise 23:

Time and space are only two examples of complexity measures for computations. The following general approach is known as *Blum complexity*. Let f be a function mapping pairs (M, w), with M a (deterministic) Turing machine and w an input for M, to nonnegative integers. Then f is a *complexity measure* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) f(M, w) is defined if and only if M terminates on w;
- (ii) Given M, w, k, it is decidable whether f(M, w) = k.

Note that there may be M, w, k given as input such that M does not halt on w. Consider the following functions:

- $space'_M(w)$  is defined as the function  $space_M(w)$  in the lecture, but it is undefined if M does not terminate on w.
- $ink_M(w)$  is the number of times during the finite computation of M on w that a symbol has to be overwritten by a different symbol. It is undefined if M does not terminate on w.
- $carbon_M(w)$  is the number of times during the finite computation of M on w that a symbol has to be overwritten by the same symbol. It is undefined if M does not terminate on w.

#### Show the following:

- (a)  $time_M(w)$  and  $space'_M(w)$  are complexity measures.
- (b)  $ink_M(w)$  is a complexity measure.

Hint: the proof of (ii) involves show the following: if  $ink_M(w) = k$ , then the number of different configurations that the computation of M on w may take is finite.

(c)  $carbon_M(w)$  is not a complexity measure.

Hint: To prove that (ii) is violated, reduce the word problem to the problem "carbon(M, x) = k?".