

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

Description Logics

Exercise Sheet 14

Dr. Anni-Yasmin Turhan / Dr. Felix Distel Summer Semester 2011

Exercise 1

Prove that for a given TBox \mathcal{T} and a consequence $A \sqsubseteq B$ there can be exponentially many MinAs for $A \sqsubseteq B$. Hint: You do not need any concept constructors except conjunction.

Exercise 2

Complete the proof of Theorem 8.5 for the case where ψ is obtained using (R3).

Exercise 3

Let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be two DL-languages. We define the \mathcal{L}_2 -lcs as follows. Let C_1 , C_2 be two \mathcal{L}_1 -concept descriptions. An \mathcal{L}_2 -concept description C is called the \mathcal{L}_2 -lcs of C_1 and C_2 iff

- (1) $C_1 \sqsubseteq C$ and $C_2 \sqsubseteq C$, and
- (2) for all \mathcal{L}_2 -concept descriptions D it holds that $C_1 \sqsubseteq D$ and $C_2 \sqsubseteq D$ imply $C \sqsubseteq D$.

This generalizes the standard notion of least common subsumers since it allows different logics for C_1 , C_2 and their lcs.

Consider the following FLE-concept descriptions

$$C_1 = \exists r. C \sqcap \exists r. D \sqcap \forall r. (A \sqcap B),$$

$$C_2 = \exists r. B \sqcap \exists r. D \sqcap \forall r. (A \sqcap D).$$

Find

- a) the $\mathcal{EL}\text{-lcs},$
- b) the \mathcal{FLE} -lcs¹, and
- c) the \mathcal{ALC} -lcs

of C_1 and C_2 . Hint: It is not necessary to provide an algorithm that can compute the lcs in the general case.

 $^{{}^{1}\}mathcal{FLE}$ provides conjunction, existential restrictions and value restrictions.