

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory


Fuzzy Description Logics

Summer Semester 2017

Exercise Sheet 1 12th April 2017

PD Dr.-Ing. habil. Anni-Yasmin Turhan & İsmail İlkan Ceylan

Exercise 1.1 Consider the (graphical representation of the) interpretation \mathcal{I} with $\Delta_{\mathcal{I}} = \{d, e, f\}$.



List all the elements of $C^{\mathcal{I}}$ for each of the following concept descriptions C.

- (a) \mathcal{EL} :
 - $\exists r.(A \sqcap B)$,
 - $B \sqcap \exists r.A$, and
- (b) ALC:
 - \bullet $A \sqcup B$,
 - $\bullet \neg A \sqcup \neg B$,
 - $\forall r.(B \sqcap A)$

Exercise 1.2 Consider the ABox

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ A(d), B(e), A(f), B(f),$$
$$r(e, f), r(d, f) \}.$$

- (a) Present a graphical representation of the ABox \mathcal{A} .
- (b) For each of the concept descriptions C from Exercise 1 list all individuals that are instances of C with respect to \mathcal{A} .

Exercise 1.3 Consider the TBox \mathcal{T} having the following axioms:

$$A \sqsubseteq \exists r.(C \sqcap D),$$
$$B \sqcap \exists r.B \sqsubseteq \exists r.\exists r.B,$$
$$\exists r.\exists r.A \sqsubseteq B,$$
$$C \sqsubseteq B \sqcap \exists r.A\}.$$

Normalize ${\mathcal T}$ using the normalization rules

(NF1)
$$C \sqcap \hat{D} \sqsubseteq E \iff \hat{D} \sqsubseteq A, C \sqcap A \sqsubseteq E$$
,

(NF2)
$$\exists r.\hat{D} \sqsubseteq E \rightsquigarrow \hat{D} \sqsubseteq A, \exists r.A \sqsubseteq E$$
,

(NF3)
$$B \sqsubseteq \exists r.\hat{C} \rightsquigarrow A \sqsubseteq \hat{C}, B \sqsubseteq \exists r.A$$
,

(NF4)
$$\hat{C} \sqsubseteq \hat{D} \rightsquigarrow \hat{C} \sqsubseteq A, A \sqsubseteq \hat{D}$$
, and

(NF5)
$$C \sqsubseteq D \sqcap E \rightsquigarrow C \sqsubseteq D, C \sqsubseteq E$$

where $\hat{C}, \hat{D} \notin \mathcal{N}_C \cup \{\top\}$ and A is a new concept name.

Exercise 1.4 Verify whether the subsumption relation

$$A \sqsubseteq \exists r. \exists r. B$$

holds with respect to the TBox ${\mathcal T}$ from Exercise 3 using the completion rules

(R1)
$$A_1 \sqcap A_2 \sqsubseteq B \in \mathcal{T}, A_1, A_2 \in S(A) \rightsquigarrow \text{add } B \text{ to } S(A),$$

(R2)
$$A_1 \sqsubseteq \exists r.B \in \mathcal{T}, A_1 \in S(A) \rightsquigarrow \text{add } r \text{ to } R(A,B), \text{ and}$$

(R3)
$$\exists r.A_1 \sqsubseteq B \in \mathcal{T}, A_1 \in S(A_2), r \in R(A, A_2) \rightsquigarrow \text{add } B \text{ to } S(A),$$

where each concept name A is initially labelled with $S(A) = \{A, \top\}$ and each pair (A, B) is initially labelled with $R(A, B) = \emptyset$.