Lehrstuhl für Automatentheorie
Institut für Theoretische Informatik, TU Dresden
Prof. Dr. F. Baader

Hans-Grundig-Str. 25
Dresden Johannstadt
Tel.:0351/463-38237
Tel.:0351/463-39171

Evaluation of the Questionnaire

for the course

Logic-based Knowledge Representation

in Winter Semester 2003/2004

Lecturer: Dr. C. Lutz

1. The lecturer is always well-prepared   Average: 1,8


## 2. The lecture has a clear structure   Average: 1,8

## 3. The style of presentation is interesting   Average: 0,8

4. Examples given are helpful
Average: 1,1

-2	-1	0	1	2
0	2	0	3	5

5. The lecturer can make complex subjects understandable
Average: 0,9

| -2	-1	0	1	2
0	1	1	5	2

6. The lecturer can clarify general methodical principles and other issues which are independent of any particular subject area
Average: 0,8

-2	-1	0	1	2
0	1	2	3	2

7. The lecturer makes an effort to ensure that students are following the lecture
Average: 0,4

-2	-1	0	1	2
1	1	2	5	1

8. The lecturer is available for questions afterwards
Average: 1,0

-2	-1	0	1	2
0	1	2	3	4

9. I have learned a lot from the lectures

Average: 1,1

0	1	0	6	3
-2	-1	0	1	2

10. My interest in the subject area / lecture content was increased

Average: 1,0

0	1	0	6	3
-2	-1	0	1	2

11. I was motivated to think about the issues presented

Average: 0,6

0	1	2	3	1
-2	-1	0	1	2

12. The lecturer regards teaching as important

Average: 1,5

0	0	1	3	6
-2	-1	0	1	2

13. The speed of presentation is

Average: 0,7

0	0	5	3	2
too slow	slow	ok	fast	too fast

14. The lecture demands
Average: 0,5

too little	little	ok	much	too much
0	0	6	3	1

15. In this semester, how often have you not attended the lecture?
Average: 1,4

0	1-2	3-4	5-6	6
5	2	2	0	1

16. How many hours per week have you invested in preparation for the lecture and going over ist contents?
Average: 2,7

0-2	3-4	4-6	7-8	>8
5	3	2	0	0

17. How many hrs would have been actually necessary?
Average: 3,1

0-2	3-4	4-6	7-8	>8
5	2	3	0	0

18. The exercises helped me to understand the lecture material
Average: 0,8

wholly false	false	undecided	true	wholly true
0	0	4	4	2

19. The exercises were

Average: 0,3

too easy	easy	ok	difficult	too difficult
0	0	7	3	0