

6. Exercises for the Course „Logic-based Knowledge Representation“

Exercise 20:

For the \geq -Rule of the tableau-based algorithm to be applicable to some $a: (\geq n r) \in \mathcal{A}$, the following condition must be satisfied:

there are no n individual names b_1, \dots, b_n with

$$\{(a, b_1): r, \dots, (a, b_n): r\} \cup \{b_i \neq b_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

- It is natural to view (and decide) this condition as a graph-theoretical problem by translating inequality assertions into a graph. Describe the graph-theoretic problem corresponding to the above applicability condition. What is the complexity of this problem?
- Is it possible to replace the inequality assertions in ABoxes with a more clever data structure, such that checking the applicability of \geq -Rule becomes less complex?

Exercise 21:

Let K be a set of constructors to generate complex concepts.

- A constructor $k \in K$ is *redundant in K* , if, for each concept C using constructors in K , there exists an equivalent concept C' using only constructors in $K \setminus \{k\}$.
- Two sets K and K' of constructors are *equivalent* if, for each concept C using only constructors in K , there exists an equivalent concept C' using only constructors in K' and, vice versa, for each concept C using only constructors in K' , there exists an equivalent concept C' using only constructors in K .

Define, for each of the following two sets of constructors K , a minimal set K' such that K' and K are equivalent and K' does not contain any redundant constructor.

- $K := \{\neg, \sqcup, \sqcap, \forall, \exists\}$,
- $K := \{\leq n, \geq n, \neg, \sqcup, \sqcap, \forall, \exists\}$.