

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

# **Introduction to Automatic Structures**

# **Exercise Sheet 5**

Dr. Anni-Yasmin Turhan / Dr. Felix Distel Winter Semester 2011/2012

### Notice

Exercise 20 b) is a challenging exercise. It will not be discussed during the tutorial. Solutions can be sent via e-mail to Felix Distel until the end of the year.

# **Exercise 18**

The *Post Correspondence Problem (PCP)* is a well-known undecidable problem. The version we consider here is defined as follows. An *instance*  $I = ((u_1, ..., u_n), (v_1, ..., v_n))$  of *PCP* consists of two sequences of words over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ . We call  $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \in \{1, ..., n\}^+$  a *solution of*  $((u_1, ..., u_n), (v_1, ..., v_n))$  iff

$$U_{S_1}U_{S_2}\cdots U_{S_k}=V_{S_1}V_{S_2}\cdots V_{S_k}.$$

The sequence  $((\epsilon, \epsilon), (u_{s_1}, v_{s_1}), \dots, (u_{s_1}u_{s_2}\cdots u_{s_k}, v_{s_1}v_{s_2}\cdots v_{s_k}))$  is called the *construction of s*. In this exercise we examine a connection between PCP and the FOL theory of the structure

 $\mathcal{A} = (\{0, 1, \#, \$\}^*; is_{\epsilon}, is_0, is_1, is_{\#}, is_{\$}, \circ),$ 

where

- $is_{\epsilon}$ ,  $is_0$ ,  $is_1$ ,  $is_{\#}$  and  $is_{\$}$  are unary predicates that decide whether a word is the empty word, the word 0, 1, # or \$, respectively, and
- the ternary relation ∘ checks whether in a given tuple (*w*<sub>1</sub>, *w*<sub>2</sub>, *w*<sub>3</sub>) the word *w*<sub>3</sub> is the concatenation of *w*<sub>1</sub> and *w*<sub>2</sub>.
- a) Give FOL formulae that define the following relations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - The prefix relation  $\leq$  and the suffix relation  $\succeq$ .
  - The substring relation  $\subseteq$ .
  - The unary relation  $only_{01}$  that contains all words from  $\{0, 1\}^*$ .
  - For a given word u ∈ {0, 1, #, \$}\* the unary relation is<sub>u</sub> contains only the word u itself.

b) For every instance  $I = ((u_1, ..., u_n), (v_1, ..., v_n))$  of PCP define a FOL sentence  $\phi_I$  with the following property: I has a solution iff  $\phi_I$  belongs to the FOL theory of A.

Hint: You can encode the construction of the solution s as the string

 $S = \# \$ \# u_{s_1} \$ v_{s_1} \# u_{s_1} u_{s_2} \$ v_{s_1} v_{s_2} \# \cdots \# u_{s_1} u_{s_2} \cdots u_{s_k} \$ v_{s_1} v_{s_2} \cdots v_{s_k} \#$ 

c) Use your previous results to prove or refute the claim that  ${\cal A}$  has an automatic presentation.

### **Exercise 19**

Show that the following structures are isomorphic to structures that are FOL definable in the universal structure ( $\{0, 1\}^*; \leq, S_0, S_1, EqualLength$ ). Give the corresponding FOL formulae.

- a)  $(\mathbb{N}; \leq)$
- b)  $(\mathbb{N};+)$

#### Exercise 20

We consider universal structures again.

- a) Give a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  other than  $(\{0, 1\}^*; \preceq, S_0, S_1, EqualLength)$  that is universal in the following sense: if the structure  $\mathcal{B}$  has an automatic presentation then  $\mathcal{B}$  has an automatic presentation that is FOL definable in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- b) Show that an automatic structure over the unary alphabet can never be universal.