

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

# **Description Logics**

### **Exercise Sheet 4**

Dr.-Ing. Anni-Yasmin Turhan Winter Semester 2012/13

## **Exercise 13**

Prove the following results.

Let  $\mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$  be a knowledge base, C an  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -concept description, and a an individual name.

- a)  $\mathcal{K}$  is consistent iff  $\tau(\mathcal{K})$  is consistent.
- b) a is an instance of C w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}$  iff  $\tau(\mathcal{K}) \models \tau_x(C)(a)$ .

## **Exercise 14**

In the lecture, we defined bisimulations for  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -concept descriptions s.t. they capture the expressive power of  $\mathcal{ALC}$ , i.e. that bisimulation invariance for  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -concept descriptions follows.

- a) Extend the notion of bisimulation relation to  $\mathcal{ALCN}$  s.t. bisimulation invariance for  $\mathcal{ALCN}$ -concept descriptions follows.
- b) Show bisimulation invariance for the bisimulation relation defined in exercise (a).
- c) Prove that  $\mathcal{ALCQ}$  is more expressive than  $\mathcal{ALCN}$ .

#### Exercise 15

Let  $\mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$  be a consistent knowledge base. We write  $C \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{K}} D$  if  $C^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq D^{\mathcal{I}}$  for all models  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Prove that for all  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -concepts C and D, we have  $C \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{K}} D$  iff  $C \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{T}} D$ .

Hint: Use disjoint unions.

#### **Exercise 16**

Show the following claim: If a concept *C* is satisfiable w.r.t. an  $\mathcal{ALC}$ -TBox  $\mathcal{T}$ , then for all  $n \ge 1$  there is a model  $\mathcal{I}_n$  of

 $\mathcal{T}$  such that:  $|C^{\mathcal{I}_n}| \geq n$ .