

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

Description Logics

Exercise Sheet 5

Dr.-Ing. Anni-Yasmin Turhan Winter Semester 2012/13

Exercise 17

Let $\rho_1 \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}_1} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}_2}$ and $\rho_2 \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}_2} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}_3}$ be bisimulation relations. Prove that bisimulations are closed under

- a) composition (e.g. $\rho_3 = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1$ is a bisimulation), and
- b) union (e.g. $\rho_3 = \rho_1 \cup \rho_2$ is a bisimulation).

Exercise 18

Prove or refute the following claim:

Given an \mathcal{ALC} -concept C and an \mathcal{ALC} -TBox \mathcal{T} . If \mathcal{I} is an interpretation and \mathcal{J} its filtration w.r.t. $Sub(C) \cup Sub(\mathcal{T})$, then the relation $\rho = \{(d, [d]) \mid d \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, [d] \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}, d \simeq [d]\}$ is a bisimulation.

Exercise 19

Use the tableau algorithm from the lecture to decide whether the following subsumption holds:

 $\neg \forall r. A \sqcap \forall r. C \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{T}} \forall r. E$

where $\mathcal{T} = \{ C \equiv (\exists r. \neg B) \sqcap \neg A, D \equiv \exists r. B, E \equiv \neg (\exists r. A) \sqcap \exists r. D \}.$