



Description Logic

Winter Semester 2017/18

Exercise Sheet 7

28th November 2017

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Franz Baader, Dr.-Ing. Stefan Borgwardt

Exercise 7.1 We consider another form of blocking, where an individual can be blocked by an individual that is not necessarily an ancestor: *anywhere blocking*. Instead of the ancestor relation, it uses the age of an individual to determine the blocking relation.

The *age* of an individual a , denoted by $\text{age}(a)$, is defined as 0 for individuals that occur in the input ABox \mathcal{A} and as n for a new individual that was generated by the n th application of the \exists -rule.

Let \mathcal{A}' be an ABox obtained by applying the tableau rules of $\text{consistent}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ for general TBoxes. A tree individual b is *anywhere blocked by an individual a* in \mathcal{A}' if

- $\text{con}_{\mathcal{A}'}(b) \subseteq \text{con}_{\mathcal{A}'}(a)$,
- $\text{age}(a) < \text{age}(b)$, and
- a is not blocked.

As before, the descendants of b are then also considered blocked.

Prove that the tableau algorithm with anywhere blocking is a decision procedure for consistency of \mathcal{ALC} -knowledge bases with general TBoxes.

Exercise 7.2 Let $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}_0)$ be an \mathcal{ALC} -knowledge base, where \mathcal{T} is a general TBox. A *precompletion* of \mathcal{K} is a clash-free ABox \mathcal{A} that is obtained from \mathcal{K} by exhaustively applying all expansion rules except the \exists -rule.

Show that \mathcal{K} is consistent iff there is a precompletion \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{K} such that, for all individual names a occurring in \mathcal{A} , the concept $C_{\mathcal{A}}^a := \prod_{a:C \in \mathcal{A}} C$ is satisfiable w.r.t. \mathcal{T} .

Exercise 7.3 Let C be an \mathcal{ALC} -concept. We denote by $\#C$ the number of occurrences of the constructors \sqcup , \sqcap , \exists , and \forall within C . The multiset $M(C)$ contains, for each occurrence of a subconcept of the form $\neg D$ in C , the number $\#D$.

Use this representation to prove that exhaustively applying the following transformation rules to an \mathcal{ALC} -concept always terminates, regardless of the order of rule applications:

$$\neg(C \sqcap D) \rightsquigarrow \neg\neg\neg C \sqcup \neg\neg\neg D$$

$$\neg(C \sqcup D) \rightsquigarrow \neg\neg\neg C \sqcap \neg\neg\neg D$$

$$\neg\neg C \rightsquigarrow C$$

$$\neg(\exists r.C) \rightsquigarrow \forall r.\neg C$$

$$\neg(\forall r.C) \rightsquigarrow \exists r.\neg C$$