

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

Term Rewriting Systems

Summer Semester 2018

Exercise Sheet 12 – Completion

4th July 2018

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Franz Baader, Dipl.-Math. Francesco Kriegel

Exercise 12.1 (a) Apply the rules of the improved completion procedure to the following set of identities.

$$E := \{ f(f(x,y),z) \approx f(x,f(y,z)), \ f(x,x) \approx x, \ f(f(x,y),x) \approx x \}$$

Use a strategy that resembles the basic completion procedure, but simplifies rules as follows: upon adding new rules, simplify old ones by means of L-SIMPLIFY-RULE and R-SIMPLIFY-RULE. For the proof

 $P \coloneqq \langle f(x, f(y, f(y, x))), f(x, f(f(y, y), x)), f(x, f(y, x)), f(f(x, y), x), x \rangle$

construct a rewrite proof P' in R_{ω} with $P \succ_{\mathcal{C}} P'$ using the proof of Lemma 7.3.4 in *Term Rewriting* and All That.

(b) Fix the following set of identities.

$$E \coloneqq \{x + (y + z) \approx (x + y) + z, f(x) + f(y) \approx f(x + y)\}$$

Apply the completion procedure described above to the input E and the polynomial order induced by

$$P_f(X) \coloneqq X + 1$$
 and $P_+(X, Y) \coloneqq X \cdot Y^2$.

Exercise 12.2 Consider the following completion procedure for ground term rewriting systems.

Input: A finite set G_0 of ground identities over Σ and a reduction order > that is total on the set of ground terms over Σ .

Procedure: Exhaustively apply the rules L-SIMPLIFY-RULE, DELETE, and ORIENT.

Output: A ground term rewriting system.

Show that this procedure

- (a) always terminates,
- (b) is fair,
- (c) is correct, and
- (d) never fails.

Exercise 12.3 The simple semi-decision procedure described in the proof of Theorem 7.3.5 in *Term Rewriting and All That* is rather inefficient, because after each inference step it tests whether s and t are joinable by computing *all* normal forms of s and t with respect to the (possibly non-confluent) term rewrite system R_n .

Show that the following modification of the procedure still yields a semi-decision procedure for the word problem.

- Begin with $s_0 \coloneqq s$ and $t_0 \coloneqq t$.
- After the *i*th inference step, compute *one arbitrary* R_i -normal form s_i of s_{i-1} and *one arbitrary* R_i -normal form t_i of t_{i-1} .
- Output "yes" if there exists some *n* such that $s_n = t_n$.

Hiut' Show the following.

- (a) $s \approx_{E_0} s_n$ and $t \approx_{E_0} t_n$ for all $n \ge 0$.
- (b) Since R_{∞} is terminating, there is an $n \ge 0$ such that $s_n = s_m$ and $t_n = t_m$ for all $m \ge n$.