



Description Logic

Summer Semester 2019

Exercise Sheet 8

21st May 2019

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Franz Baader, Dipl.-Math. Francesco Kriegel

Exercise 8.1 Prove that the tableau algorithm for \mathcal{ALCN} is sound and complete.

Exercise 8.2 We extend the tableau algorithm from \mathcal{ALCN} to \mathcal{ALCQ} by modifying the \geq -rule and the \leq -rule as follows:

The \geq -rule	
<i>Condition:</i>	\mathcal{A} contains $a:(\geq nr.C)$, but there are no n distinct individuals b_1, \dots, b_n with $\{(a, b_i):r, b_i:C \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and a is not blocked
<i>Action:</i>	$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \cup \{(a, d_i):r, d_i:C \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{d_i \neq d_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, where d_1, \dots, d_n are new individual names

The \leq -rule	
<i>Condition:</i>	\mathcal{A} contains $a:(\leq nr.C)$, and there are $n+1$ distinct individuals b_0, \dots, b_n with $\{(a, b_i):r, b_i:C \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$
<i>Action:</i>	$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \text{prune}(\mathcal{A}, b_j)[b_j \mapsto b_i] \cup \{b_i = b_j\}$ for $i \neq j$ such that, if b_j is a root individual, then so is b_i

For the knowledge base

$$\{ \{C \sqsubseteq E\}, \{a:(\leq 1r.(D \sqcap E)), (a, b):r, b:C \sqcap D, (a, c):r, c:D \sqcap E, c:\neg C\} \},$$

determine whether it is consistent, and whether the proposed algorithm detects this.

Exercise 8.3 Let \mathcal{T} be an acyclic TBox in NNF, and let \mathcal{T}^\sqsubseteq be obtained from \mathcal{T} by replacing each concept definition $A \equiv C$ with the concept inclusion $A \sqsubseteq C$.

Prove that every concept name is satisfiable w.r.t. \mathcal{T} if, and only if, it is satisfiable w.r.t. \mathcal{T}^\sqsubseteq . Does this also hold true for the acyclic TBox $\{A \equiv C \sqcap \neg B, B \equiv P, C \equiv P\}$?

Exercise 8.4 Use the \mathcal{ALC} -Worlds algorithm to decide satisfiability of the concept name A_0 w.r.t. the following simple TBox:

$$\begin{aligned} \{ & A_0 \equiv A_1 \sqcap A_2, & A_1 \equiv \exists r.A_3, & A_2 \equiv A_4 \sqcap A_5, & A_3 \equiv P, \\ & A_4 \equiv \exists r.A_6, & A_5 \equiv A_7 \sqcap A_8, & A_6 \equiv Q, & A_7 \equiv \forall r.A_4, \\ & A_8 \equiv \forall r.A_9, & A_9 \equiv \forall r.A_{10}, & A_{10} \equiv \neg P \} \end{aligned}$$

Draw the recursion tree of a successful run and of an unsuccessful run. Does the algorithm return a positive or a negative result on this input?