



## Automata and Logic

Winter Semester 2018 / 2019

### Exercise Sheet 3

24th October 2018

### Regular Languages, Finite Monoids, and Logical Formulae

PD Dr.-Ing. habil. Anni-Yasmin Turhan, Dipl.-Math. Francesco Kriegel

**Exercise 3.1** Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet and  $(M, \circ, 1)$  a monoid. Prove that every function  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow M$  can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism  $\phi: \Sigma^* \rightarrow M$ .

*Hint.* If  $f: A \rightarrow C$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$  are functions such that  $A \subseteq B$ , then we say that  $g$  *extends*  $f$  if  $g(a) = f(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ .

**Exercise 3.2** Consider the monoids  $M_i := (\{1, a, b\}, \circ_i, 1)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $\circ_1$  is given by the following table:

$\circ_1$	1	a	b
1	1	a	b
a	a	a	b
b	b	a	b

and  $x \circ_2 y := y \circ_1 x$  for all  $x, y \in \{1, a, b\}$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , find a regular language  $L_i \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$  such that  $M_i$  is the syntactic monoid of  $L_i$ , or prove that no such language exists.

**Exercise 3.3** Let  $\Sigma := \{a, b\}$ ,  $M := \{0, 1, 2\}$ , and let  $\circ: M \times M \rightarrow M$  be defined as  $x \circ y := (x + y) \bmod 3$ . We define mappings  $\phi, \phi': \Sigma^* \rightarrow M$  by setting  $\phi(w) := |w| \bmod 3$  and  $\phi'(w) := |w|_a \bmod 3$ , where  $|w|$  denotes the *length* of  $w$  and  $|w|_a$  the number of occurrences of the symbol  $a$  in  $w$ .

- (a) Show that both  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are homomorphisms from  $(\Sigma^*, \cdot, \varepsilon)$  into  $(M, \circ, 0)$ .
- (b) For each of the languages  $\phi^{-1}(\{0, 2\})$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(\{1\})$  and  $(\phi')^{-1}(\{1\})$  devise a finite automaton that recognises the language.

**Exercise 3.4** Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet,  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  a language, and  $(M, \circ, 1)$  a monoid. Prove that  $L$  is accepted by  $(M, \circ, 1)$  if, and only if,  $\bar{L}$  is also accepted by  $(M, \circ, 1)$ .

**Exercise 3.5** Determine the syntactic monoid of the language described by  $a^*ba^*$ .

**Exercise 3.6** Let  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  for some finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ , and  $\approx$  be an equivalence relation on  $\Sigma^*$ . Consider the following property:

For all  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ , if  $u \in L$  and  $u \approx v$ , then  $v \in L$ . (\*)

(a) Prove that the syntactical congruence  $\sim_L$  has property (\*).

*Hint.* The proof of Corollary 1.13 from the lecture depends on this fact.

(b) Show that  $\sim_L$  is the coarsest congruence relation with property (\*).

*Hint.* An equivalence relation  $\approx_2$  is *coarser* than  $\approx_1$  if, for every  $x, y$ ,  $x \approx_1 y$  implies  $x \approx_2 y$ . (In particular,  $\approx_2$  has at most as many equivalence classes as  $\approx_1$ .)

(c) Show that the Nerode right congruence  $\rho_L$  is the coarsest right congruence with property (\*).