

Faculty of Computer Science Institute of Theoretical Computer Science, Chair of Automata Theory

## **Automata and Logic**

Winter Semester 2018/2019 23rd January 2019

## Exercise Sheet 12 Automata on Finite Trees

PD Dr.-Ing. habil. Anni-Yasmin Turhan, Dipl.-Math. Francesco Kriegel

**Exercise 12.1** Let  $\Sigma := \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$  be a finite alphabet with arity function where  $\Sigma_0 := \{x, y, z\}$ ,  $\Sigma_1 := \{\neg\}$ , and  $\Sigma_2 := \{\land, \lor\}$ . For each of the following sets of trees, define a tree automaton (either LR or RL) recognizing it.

- (a) {trees containing the symbol  $\lor$  exactly once}
- (b) {trees containing the symbol  $\neg$  at least once on every path of the tree}
- (c) {trees describing *satisfiable* propositional formulae}

**Exercise 12.2** Let  $\mathcal{A} \coloneqq (Q, \Sigma, I, \Delta, F)$  be the non-deterministic LR-tree automaton with the following components.

$$Q := \{0, 1\}$$
  

$$\Sigma := \{f, x, y\} \text{ with } \nu(f) := 2 \text{ and } \nu(x) := \nu(y) := 0$$
  

$$I(x) := \{0, 1\}$$
  

$$I(y) := \{0\}$$
  

$$\Delta_f(0, 0) := \{0\}$$
  

$$\Delta_f(0, 1) := \{1, 0\}$$
  

$$\Delta_f(1, 0) := \{1, 0\}$$
  

$$\Delta_f(1, 1) := \{1\}$$
  

$$F := \{1\}$$

- (a) Adapt the standard power-set construction from finite automata on words to LR-tree automata and use it to construct a deterministic LR-tree automaton A' such that L(A) = L(A') holds true.
- (b) Try to apply a similar construction to the RL-tree automaton from Example 6.10. Explain why this method fails for RL-tree automata.

**Exercise 12.3** Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet with arity function,  $x, y \in \Sigma_0$ , and  $U, V, W \subseteq \mathbf{T}_{\Sigma}$ . Prove or refute each of the following claims.

- (a)  $U \cdot (V \cup W) = (U \cdot V) \cup (U \cdot W)$
- (b)  $(U \cdot X V) \cdot W = U \cdot X (V \cdot W)$
- (c)  $(U^{*,x})^{*,y} = (U^{*,y})^{*,x}$

**Exercise 12.4** Example 6.10 shows that deterministic RL-tree automata recognize a smaller class of languages than non-deterministic ones. We call an RL-tree automaton  $\mathcal{A} := (Q, \Sigma, I, \Delta, F)$  quasi-*deterministic* if  $\Delta$  is a deterministic transition assignment, that is,

$$|\Delta_a(q)| \le 1$$

holds true for each  $a \in \Sigma_n$  where n > 0 and for any  $q \in Q$ . In particular, we can then treat each  $\Delta_a$  as a partial function of type  $Q \to Q^n$ . Note that  $I \subseteq Q$  may still be a *set*, i.e., more than one initial state is allowed.

Prove or refute each of the following statements.

- (a) If  $L \subseteq \mathbf{T}_{\Sigma}$  is a *finite* tree language, then there exists a quasi-deterministic tree automaton recognizing *L*.
- (b) If  $L \subseteq \mathbf{T}_{\Sigma}$  is a *recognizable* tree language, then there exists a quasi-deterministic tree automaton recognizing *L*.
- **Exercise 12.5** Let  $\mathcal{A} \coloneqq (Q, \Sigma, I, \Delta, F)$  be an RL-tree automaton that has the following components.

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\coloneqq \{1, \dots, 4\} \\ \Sigma &\coloneqq \{g, n, a, b\} \text{ with } \nu(g) \coloneqq 2, \nu(n) \coloneqq 1, \text{ and } \nu(a) \coloneqq \nu(b) \coloneqq 0 \\ I &\coloneqq \{1\} \\ \Delta_g(1) &\coloneqq \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (3, 4), (4, 1)\} \\ \Delta_g(2) &\coloneqq \emptyset \\ \Delta_g(2) &\coloneqq \emptyset \\ \Delta_g(3) &\coloneqq Q \times Q \\ \Delta_g(4) &\coloneqq \{(1, 2), (1, 4), (2, 4), (2, 2)\} \\ \Delta_n(1) &\coloneqq \{1\} \\ \Delta_n(2) &\coloneqq \{3\} \\ \Delta_n(3) &\coloneqq \{1, 2\} \\ \Delta_n(4) &\coloneqq \{1, 3\} \end{aligned}$$

Decide whether L(A) is empty.

**Exercise 12.6** Devise a polynomial time algorithm that decides the emptiness problem for LR-tree automata.