



Introduction to nonmonotonic reasoning

Winter Semester 2019/20

Exercise Sheet 9 – Inference relations

9th January 2020

Dr. (habil.) Anni-Yasmin Turhan

Exercise 9.1 Generalize circumscription of p in φ by ψ_p (Definition 5.5) to *finite sets* of formulae $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$.

Exercise 9.2 Show the intermediate claim used in the proof of Theorem 5.7:

$$\mathcal{I} \models_{sta} T[P_1/\psi_1, \dots, p_k/\psi_k] \iff \mathcal{J} \models_{sta} T.$$

Exercise 9.3 Let T be a first-order theory consisting of the formulae:

$$\forall X_1 \forall X_2 (p(X_1, X_2) \longrightarrow \exists X_3 p(X_2, X_3)) \quad (1)$$

$$\exists X_2 \exists X_3 (X_2, X_3) \wedge \forall X_1 \neg p(X_1, X_2) \quad (2)$$

$$\forall X_1 \forall X_2 \forall X_3 (p(X_2, X_1) \wedge p(X_3, X_1) \longrightarrow X_3 = X_2) \quad (3)$$

Consider the circumscription of p using the predicate expression:

$$\psi_p(X_1, X_2) \equiv (p(X_1, X_2) \wedge \exists X_3 p(X_3, X_1)).$$

- Verify that all three formulae in $T[p/\psi_p]$ follow from T .
- Show that $\forall X_1 \forall X_2 (\psi_p(X_1, X_2) \longrightarrow p(X_1, X_2))$ is a tautology.
- Derive $\forall X_1 \forall X_2 (p(X_1, X_2) \longrightarrow \psi_p)$ and show that an inconsistency has occurred.